

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			<u> </u>		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/690,243	10/17/2000	Ari Engelberg	36530/RRT/S850	2962	
23363 75	590 11/05/2004		EXAMINER		
CHRISTIE, P. PO BOX 7068	ARKER & HALE, L	BACKER, FIRMIN			
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3621		
		DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	jL J			
Advisory Action	09/690,243	ENGELBERG ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Firmin Backer	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ss			
THE REPLY FILED 25 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of th	cation. A proper reply ch places the applica	/ to a tion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (2)	sion fee under as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant'37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•					
2. \boxtimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or sir	nplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims	S .			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed a	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-79.	•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	1				
10. Other:		frum soc				
	/	Firmin Baeker Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3621				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment will not be entered because they raise new issu such in claims 1 and 39 " wherein the system does not include a master postal security device for transacting with the stateless cryptomodule and for maintaining a pool of postage within the system purchased from the U.S. Postal Service" that require further search and consideration..

fram bort